UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

		Check if previously referred	
	15.A		
_		V. CA/CR No	
<u>d</u>	eorge	v. CA/CR No Schussel Criminal Category 09 - 10060 A	CL
Distri	cordance vict of Mass eedings:	with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the sachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge Mexander for the following	
(A)		Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions.	
(B)		Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions:	
(C)		Referred for discovery purposes only.	
(D)		Referred for Report and Recommendation on:	
		 () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings¹ See Documents Numbered: # 24 Assended to Motion to Send 	
(E)		Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s).	
(F)		Case referred for settlement.	
(G)		Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)	ır
(H)		Special Instructions:	
<u> 12 </u> Date	6/04	By: Don Stanley e Deputy Clerk	
(OrRef (for pdf.wpd	- 05/2003)	

See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

n accorda proceedir	ance with all ng is referred	rules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:			
	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 case				
	Арро	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require			
	Orde	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary			
	Hold a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a recommendation to the district judge				
<u> </u>	shall	magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to t It judge setting forth:			
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;			
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;			
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;			
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;			
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.			
The magist exhibit to or	trate judge m ne another, a	ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to nd submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.			
	As to a hearin	ny issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary g, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:			
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;			
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;			
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;			
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.			

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(OrRef for pdf.wpd - 1/20/03)